

from West Virginia, I call up amendment No. 350, which the clerk has at the desk, and ask for its report.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the amendment.

The bill clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Nebraska [Mr. EXON], for Mr. BYRD, proposes an amendment numbered 350 to amendment No. 347.

Mr. EXON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

At the appropriate place insert the following:

SEC. . USE OF THE REDUCTIONS IN DISCRETIONARY SPENDING CAPS.

(A) CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT.—

(1) BUDGET RESOLUTIONS AND LEGISLATION.—Section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) USE OF REDUCTIONS IN DISCRETIONARY SPENDING CAPS.—It shall not be in order in the Senate or House of Representatives to consider any concurrent resolution on the budget, bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report that decreases the discretionary spending limits unless the concurrent resolution on the budget, bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report provides that such decrease may only be used for deficit reduction and may not be used to offset all or part of an increase in direct spending or decrease in receipts under section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1974.”.

(2) SIXTY VOTE POINT OF ORDER.—Subsections (c) and (d) of section 904 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 are amended by inserting “301(j),” after “301(i).”.

(b) GRAMM-RUDMAN.—Section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f) USE OF REDUCTIONS IN DISCRETIONARY SPENDING CAPS.—A decrease in the discretionary spending limits may only be used for deficit reduction and may not be used to offset all or part of an increase in direct spending or decrease in receipts under this section.”.

Mr. EXON. Mr. President, this amendment would prohibit the use of cuts in the appropriation caps to pay for tax cuts. The Senator from West Virginia has asked me to call up this amendment to ensure that it will qualify for consideration under the unanimous consent agreement governing consideration of the main proposition before us.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that now that this has been called up, the pending amendment be temporarily set aside.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. COATS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that at 10 a.m. the Senate resume consideration of the Daschle substitute on which there be the following time limitation prior to a motion to table: 2 hours to be equally divided in the usual form.

Mr. EXON. There is no objection here.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. COATS. Mr. President, I now ask unanimous consent that there be a period for the transaction of routine morning business with Senators permitted to speak therein for not to exceed 5 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE SOARING TRADE DEFICIT

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, the numbers are now in for the trade deficit for January, and they are not good. In fact, we set a new deficit record for a single month. The trade deficit surged over 68 percent, to a highest ever mark of \$12.2 billion.

Mr. President, I never have met two economists who agree on everything. Some say you should not pay too much attention to trade deficit numbers. But most economists will tell you that continuously rising deficits in merchandise and services trade, year upon year, are unsustainable. Last year's overall merchandise trade deficit reached a record high \$166 billion. The figures just released for January of this year indicate that the growth is not slowing. The growth in our trade deficit is in fact accelerating. This is deeply troubling.

Mr. President, the soaring trade deficit is not just a matter of the volume of imports from abroad. A ballooning trade deficit affects the strength of the dollar, interest rates, the stock and bond markets, and the long-term attractiveness of the U.S. as a destination for investment. In other words, it threatens the standard of living of every American.

Despite the potential enormity of this problem, the administration has yet to focus on it as a real threat to working Americans. I am reminded that in the months and weeks leading up to the Mexico crisis, it seemed that no one in the administration was minding the store. We do not yet know the full extent of the fallout from that catastrophe. Mr. President, I hope we are not today headed down the same road with regard to our growing trade deficit. I hope those in the administration charged with watchfulness are not asleep a the witch.

Mr. President, we must not place our economic stability at risk. We must not allow warning signs to go unheeded. No single month's figures are conclusive, but when the bad numbers pile up month after month, they must not be ignored.

RETIREMENT OF JOHN LAHR

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, yesterday's edition of the Montana Standard

contained an article that I especially enjoyed reading. Let me share part of this article with my colleagues:

A special passenger train ran from Helena to Garrison and back Sunday to honor retiring Montana Power Company lobbyist John Lahr, a train buff * * *. Montana Rail Link furnished the engines; Burlington Northern provided several refurbished passenger cars * * * and the engineers union furnished the engineers for what was billed with banners on the engines as the “John Lahr Special.”

When I read this I could not help but think how appropriate this tribute is; a special train to honor a very special man.

We hear a lot of bad talk about lobbyists these days. And, both in Helena and in Washington, there are some bad lobbyists; some who use strong-arm tactics; some who urge elected representatives to vote against the public interest.

But anybody who knows John Lahr has seen living proof that lobbying can be a noble profession. He is a class act. He's a Montanan through-and-through. And he wants what is best for our State.

For almost 30 years, John has represented Montana Power Co. Legislative session after legislative session, John has been there in Helena working tirelessly. And, while he has always been an advocate for Montana Power, he sticks to the facts; he's honest; he levels with people; and he's got what may be the best—and certainly the driest—sense of humor in all of Montana.

So perhaps it is not surprising that John—though a lifelong Democrat—enjoys universal respect from both Republicans and Democrats in Helena.

While John may be retiring from the power company, I have no doubt he will continue to play an important role in the life of our State. He has too many friends; he has too much talent and he cares too deeply about Montana to quietly retire.

I wish both John and his wife, Beverly, the best of luck as they begin a new chapter in their lives. And I feel very fortunate to count them as friends and trusted advisers.

TRIBUTE TO JEFF GRIFFITH

Mr. WELLSTONE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that a tribute to Jeff Griffith, one of my former staffers who died recently here in Washington, DC be printed in the RECORD.

Jeff was one of the original members of my Senate staff, and I was deeply saddened by his death. While I know his family, friends and former colleagues will miss him terribly, as I will, I hope we will also remember his many accomplishments, and his passion for justice.

The tribute was offered on my behalf at the funeral service this past weekend.

There being no objection, the tribute was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows: